$See \ discussions, stats, and author \ profiles \ for \ this \ publication \ at: \ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369838559$

Bioaccumulation of Heavy Metals in Organs of Fresh Water Fish Oreochromis mossambicus Collected from two Sites of Ujani Backwaters

Article in Ecology Environment and Conservation · January 2023

DOI: 10.53550/EEC.2023.v29i01.073

CITATION: 0	5	reads 14	
2 autho	rs:		
	Sandip Chordiya TULJARAM CHATURCHAND COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, BARAMATI 3 PUBLICATIONS 2 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE		Navnath Eknath Chandanshive Fergusson College Pune 4 PUBLICATIONS 28 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE

Eco. Env. & Cons. 29 (1) : 2023; pp. (528-537) Copyright@ EM International ISSN 0971–765X

Bioaccumulation of Heavy Metals in Organs of Fresh Water Fish *Oreochromis mossambicus* Collected from two Sites of Ujani Backwaters

S.P. Chordiya^{1*} and N.E. Chandanshive²

¹Department of Zoology, Tuljaram Chaturchand College of Arts, Science & Commerce, Baramati 413 102, M.S., India ²Department of Zoology, Fergusson College, Pune, M.S., India

(Received 7 October, 2022; Accepted 24 December, 2022)

ABSTRACT

In the present study, an attempt has been made to compare the bioaccumulation of some heavy metals in the different tissues of the most common fish *Oreochromis mossambicus* collected from two sites of Ujani backwaters during three seasons of the year. The backwater of Ujani dam is a major resource of freshwater fishes to local population, where fishery is practiced on large scale. It is essential to conduct a survey of the bioaccumulation pattern of toxic heavy metals such as Hg, Pb, Cu, and Znin the fish species commonly consumed by people in this region. The survey was carried out during three seasons of the year. The accumulated toxic heavy metals were found in the order Zn>Cu>Pb> Hg. The seasonal order was Monsoon > Pre-monsoon > Post-monsoon. The seasonal variation might be the effect of monsoonal runoff from the highly urbanized and industrial city of Pune that convey huge quantum of effluents in the water of tributaries of Bhima. Such study has immense importance as fishes are consumed by a large percentage of the people in the area of backwaters. This study would also provide a roadmap for researchers and policymakers to identify and implement effective and sustainable measures to counteract the increasing trends of pollution levels in natural ecosystems.

Key words: Heavy metals, water pollution, tilapia, aquatic ecosystem.

Introduction

Pollution of fresh water due to a wide range of pollutants has become anissue of serious concern since the industrialization (MPCB, 2018; Canli *et al.*, 1998; Dighavkar, 2016; Dirilgen, 2001; Vutukuru, 2005; Voegborlo *et al.*, 1999). Surface water and ground water of natural aquatic systems may be contaminated with heavy metals released from domestic, industrial and other human activities (MPCB, 2018; Dighavkar, 2016; Conacher *et al.*, 1993; Velez and

(¹Assistant Prof., ²Prof.) *Corresponding author's email: spctc1008@gmail.com Montoro, 1998). Heavy metal contamination may cause serious harmful effects on the ecosystem and a diversity of aquatic organisms (Ashraj, 2005; Farombi, *et al.*, 2007; Vosyliene and Jankaite, 2006). Fishes and other living organisms cannot escape from the adverse effects of the pollution of aquatic bodies. (Clarkson, 1998; Olaifa *et al.*, 2004; Dickman and Leung, 1998). Fish are very common organisms used toassess the health of aquatic ecosystems as pollutants build up in the food chain and finally rich the fish bodies in the aquatic ecosystem (Farkas *et*

al., 2002; Yousuf and El-Shahawi, 1999).

River Bhima is one of the main sources of irrigation in western Maharashtra confluences with the River Krishna in Andhra Pradesh. Origin of Bhima lies in the Ambegaon tehsil of Pune district; nearby the shrine of Bhimashankar. It flows through the states like Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Telangana in South-East direction for approximately 850 kilometres. Main tributaries like Mula-Mutha, Kukadi, Meena, Chandani, etc. contribute to its waters at different places of confluence (MPCB, 2018-19).Increasing water pollution in Pune and the cities upstream of the Ujani dam have serious environmental impacts at Ujani backwaters asits tributaries like Mula-Mutha, Indrayani, Ghod, Pavana flow through the metropolitan cities like Pune, Pimpri-Chinchwad. Pune, Chakan & Pimpri-Chinchwad have industrial areas nearby the course of the Bhima & its tributaries.(Shinde et al., 2020; Kalekar et al., 2022). Domestic & industrial sewage from municipal areas of Pune, Pimpri-Chinchwad, Chakan, Cantonment settlements like Dehu, Pune & Khadki and agricultural run-off aremajor source of water pollution in Bhima River. Sewage disposal system of municipal and cantonment boards are not as per the standards of MPCB.(Dighavkar, 2016).Heavy metal pollution due to anthropogenic activities since the last few decades, has led to new pattern of metal distribution as compared to natural distribution. Many heavy metals are used in large scale in automobiles, mining industries, pesticides, house-holds appliances, dental amalgams, paints, photographic papers, photo chemicals, etc. (WHO 1998; Lohani et al., 2008; Kumaret a.l, 2012).

Heavy metals are deposited, assimilated, or incorporated in water, sediment and aquatic animals as they are non-degradable. (Linnik and Zubenko, 2000). Once they enter the aquatic ecosystem, they can be bioaccumulated and biomagnified via the food chain and finally reach the humanscausing serious health risks (Agah et al., 2009). Fishes feed upon the zooplanktons & phytoplanktons and therebyoccupyhigher trophic levels in the aquatic ecosystem. Tilapiaisone of the commonand affordable food sourcesforthe poor people. Hence, theyare an important and very suitablespecimento assess the heavy metals pollution in the inland aquatic ecosystem (Blasco et al., 1998; Agah et al., 2009). Determination of concentrations of heavy metals like Hg, Pb, Cu & Zn in water and different tissues of Oreochromis mossambicuswas main purpose of the present study. Samples were collected from two sites at Ujani backwaters, Maharashtra, India.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

Study area selected for the present study is backwaters of Ujani dam constructed on River Bhima. Ujani dam is built on a comparatively flat land. Its submergence area stretches nearly 40 kilometres from the dam wall. The environmental impacts at Ujani backwater are an indication of the increasing water pollution in Pune and the cities upstream of the dam. (MPCB, 2018) Water samples & fish were collected from two sites as Site-I & Site-II.

Collection of water sample

Water samples and fish specimens were collected from the selected sites in three seasons as pre-monsoon (February – May), Monsoon (June – September) & Post-monsoon (October to January) seasons. For laboratory analysis, water samples were collected in pre-acid washed dry polyethylene bottles.

Fish were collected with the help of local fishermen and brought the laboratory in icebox. Immediately, they were dissected to collect the gills, muscles & liver. Collected tissues were weighed and kept for drying in hot air oven at 60 °C till the constant dry weight. Dried tissues were powdered in porcelain mortar. Powdered tissues were stored at -18°c till the further analysis (Gorakhe and Chandanshive, 2020).

Heavy metal: Concentrations of Heavy metals were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer; LABINDIA AA8000 as per the protocols recommended by APHA (2005).

Ethical statement: We declare that, the fish under study is not protected under wildlife conservation act and is routinely caught by professional fisherman and sold as a food fish in local markets. No specific permit is required for obtaining this fish in India, and no experimentation was conducted on live specimens in the laboratory.

Results and Discussion

Mercury: Average concentration of mercury in the gills, muscles & liver of the fish collected at site-I & II was reported to be 0.24, 0.25, 0.32 and 0.43, 0.34,

0.42 mg kg⁻¹ respectively. Concentration of mercury in these tissues of the fish are within the limit prescribed by FSSAI and WHO. Tissue-wise trend of concentration seems to be like liver > gill > muscles. Similar trend has been reported by Gupta *et al.* (2009); Malik *et al.* (2010); Ayejuyo *et al.* (2009); Mastan (2014); and Lippy *et al.*(2021).Season-wise trend of concentration in the tissues was found to be maximum in the pre-monsoon (summer), followed by monsoon (rainy) and the least concentration was reported during post-monsoon (winter) season. Similar trend has been reported by Kumar *et al.*(2014) in waters of River Yamuna, Allahabad.

Average concentration of mercury in water samples was found to be 0.0025 mgl⁻¹ and it was slightly higher than the standard limit recommended by EPA, USA.

Lead: Average concentration of the lead in the tissues like gills, muscles & liver were reported as 0.3,

0.33, 0.27 and 0.34, 0.35, 0.33 mg kg⁻¹ of the fish from site-I & II respectively. These values indicate that the concentration of lead is slightly higher than the limits recommended by FSSAI and WHO. Thus, tissuewise accumulation trend is observed as muscles > gills > liver. Similar observations are recorded for the fish Mystus cavasius by Gorakhe and Chandanshive (2020). However, Gorakhe and Chandanshive (2020) have reported maximum concentration of lead in liver; followed by gills and muscles in Oreochromis mossambicus. However, Arbind Kumar et al. (2020) have reported somewhat different trend in carps. Dural et al. (2007) has reported mixed trends for lead in case of different fish species. Season-wise variation of lead in fish tissues is reported as monsoon > pre-monsoon > post-monsoon. Pandey et al. (2020) have reported similar trends for fishes from Mahi estuary, Gujarat, India. **Copper**: Average concentration of copper in the gills





Period									
	Month		Hg				Pb		
		Water		Fish		Water		Fish	
			Gill	Muscle	Liver		Gill	Muscle	Liver
Pre-monsoon	February	BDL	0.26 ± 0.06	0.25 ± 0.14	0.24 ± 0.1	BDL	0.21 ± 0.06	0.30 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.1
	March	BDL	0.25 ± 0.11	0.27 ± 0.05	0.41 ± 0.21	BDL	0.23 ± 0.07	0.31 ± 0.05	0.14 ± 0.03
	April	0.004 ± 0.007	0.36 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.17	0.39 ± 0.12	0.043 ± 0.075	0.32 ± 0.04	0.38 ± 0.06	0.20 ± 0.04
	May	0.0073 ± 0.006	0.32 ± 0.05	0.34 ± 0.11	0.39 ± 0.25	0.093 ± 0.083	0.29 ± 0.12	0.45 ± 0.07	0.34 ± 0.03
Monsoon	June	0.004 ± 0.007	0.20 ± 0.07	0.22 ± 0.11	$0.41 \pm .07$	0.043 ± 0.075	0.35 ± 0.09	0.31 ± 0.04	0.29 ± 0.08
	July	0.004 ± 0.007	0.26 ± 0.05	0.16 ± 0.08	0.36 ± 0.11	0.037 ± 0.064	0.31 ± 0.09	0.26 ± 0.04	0.27 ± 0.06
	August	0.0033 ± 0.006	0.15 ± 0.04	0.30 ± 0.11	0.22 ± 0.11	BDL	0.40 ± 0.03	0.36 ± 0.05	0.34 ± 0.05
	September	0.007 ± 0.006	0.22 ± 0.08	0.26 ± 0.14	0.15 ± 0.04	0.093 ± 0.083	0.36 ± 0.08	0.44 ± 0.06	0.53 ± 0.06
Post-monsoon	October	BDL	0.25 ± 0.1	0.28 ± 0.1	0.30 ± 0.17	0.043 ± 0.075	0.32 ± 0.08	0.36 ± 0.03	0.38 ± 0.05
	November	BDL	0.17 ± 0.06	0.26 ± 0.05	0.30 ± 0.16	BDL	0.22 ± 0.11	0.31 ± 0.08	0.20 ± 0.08
	December	BDL	0.25 ± 0.14	0.17 ± 0.11	0.32 ± 0.18	BDL	0.21 ± 0.08	0.28 ± 0.09	0.19 ± 0.08
	January	BDL	0.19 ± 0.09	$0.25\pm.09$	0.28 ± 0.04	BDL	0.33 ± 0.06	0.19 ± 0.08	0.23 ± 0.06
	Minimum	BDL	0.15	0.16	0.15	BDL	0.21	0.19	0.11
	Maximum	0.0113	0.36	0.34	0.41	0.130	0.40	0.45	0.53
	Average	0.0025 ± 0.005	0.24 ± 0.09	0.25 ± 0.1	0.32 ± 0.14	0.029 ± 0.056	0.30 ± 0.09	0.33 ± 0.09	0.27 ± 0.12
EPA Standards		0.002		0.015					
(mgl^{-1})				1					
FSSAI WHO FII				0.0 5 0				0.3	
				0.0				C.D	
Table 2. Concent	rations of Cu &	: Zn in Surface Wa	ter (mgl ⁻¹) and T	issues of Fish (m	ngkg ¹) at Site-I.	Values are Avera	ge±S.D. (n=3), B	DL: Below Dete	ctible Limit,
EFA: En Union.	All standard va	rotection Agency (alues are in mgl ⁻¹ o	r meke ⁻¹ as app	u stanuarus œ : licable	arety Authority	у от іпата, w.п.О.:	ע טרום הפשונה כ	rganizauon, Ec	J: European
Louis L	Manth								
reriou	INIOINI	TAT	כ	т:-1-		TAT	7	1. 11.	
		water		FISN		water		FISN	
			Gill	Muscle	Liver		Gill	Muscle	Liver

		C							
Period	Month		Cı	-			Zr	L L	
		Water		Fish		Water		Fish	
			Gill	Muscle	Liver		Gill	Muscle	Liver
Pre-monsoon	February	1.55 ± 0.4	22.18 ± 1.2	21.29 ± 0.53	20.64 ± 0.06	4.41 ± 0.81	41.70 ± 7.44	43.40 ± 6.16	39.32±10.33
	March	1.39 ± 0.077	22.90 ± 1.26	20.68 ± 0.63	21.71 ± 1.28	4.08 ± 1.80	47.47 ± 3.35	42.61 ± 11.17	33.67 ± 11.83
	April	1.37 ± 0.11	22.55 ± 0.08	22.09 ± 0.36	21.80 ± 1.10	4.61 ± 1.27	41.18 ± 11.10	38.36 ± 8.04	33.68 ± 1.10
	May	1.98 ± 0.34	23.98 ± 1.13	22.89 ± 0.79	22.61 ± 0.96	5.58 ± 0.39	45.47 ± 10.09	48.20 ± 4.36	34.07 ± 11.25
Monsoon	June	1.52 ± 0.16	23.84 ± 0.61	22.32 ± 0.49	22.61 ± 0.83	4.28 ± 1.63	42.11 ± 7.35	48.45 ± 3.77	43.15 ± 9.54
	July	1.41 ± 0.18	23.20 ± 0.81	21.24 ± 0.54	23.06 ± 1.06	3.99 ± 0.35	50.24 ± 6.81	45.23 ± 9.98	37.77 ± 5.07
	August	1.51 ± 0.15	23.56 ± 1.06	23.29 ± 0.77	22.97 ± 0.52	4.17 ± 0.85	46.71 ± 7.96	37.50 ± 5.12	35.13 ± 3.40
	September	2.15 ± 0.49	24.48 ± 0.78	23.75 ± 1.06	23.03 ± 1.51	5.90 ± 0.88	47.43 ± 7.80	46.41 ± 2.69	47.20 ± 2.88

531

			ر	n			Z	u	
		Water		Fish		Water		Fish	
			Gill	Muscle	Liver		Gill	Muscle	Liver
Post-monsoon	October November	1.67 ± 0.25 1.51 ± 0.07	23.65±0.09 22.35±0.21	19.61 ± 0.96 20.16 ± 0.84	21.61 ± 0.67 21.10 ± 1.51	4.16 ± 1.19 4.08 ± 1.87	46.89 ± 7.93 40.80 ± 11.40	44.86±2.31 35.25±9.76	34.32±7.2 34.46±9.(
	December	1.52 ± 0.17	21.89 ± 0.65	20.98 ± 0.89	20.87 ± 0.86	3.69 ± 1.13	37.87±1.60	40.47 ± 9.75	40.26 ± 10.4
	January	1.4 ± 0.043	21.36±0.33	22.47±0.57	20.39 ± 0.31	3.76 ± 0.43	45.34 ± 6.24	45.02 ± 3.45	35.93±7.3
	Minimum	1.3260	21.36	19.61	20.39	3.69	37.87	35.25	33.67
	Maximum	2.1523	24.48	23.75	23.06	5.92	50.24	48.45	47.20
EDA Ctondande (Average	0.15JU±0C.1	Z3.UU±1.13	21./3±1.4 1_2	CZ.1±10.12	4.39±1.17	44.43±/.44	42.98±/.1/ 5	37.41±ð.(
ELA JIAILUALUS (FSSAI	(T /SIII)			0.1 US				Not snerified	
EU				Not Specified				Not specified	
OHM				Not specified				Not specified	
Period	Month		H	6				qd	
		Water		Fish		Water		Fish	
			Gill	Muscle	Liver		Gill	Muscle	Liver
Pre-monsoon	February	BDL	0.42 ± 0.21	0.27 ± 0.14	0.51 ± 0.21	BDL	0.25 ± 0.07	0.31 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.1
	March	BDL	0.52 ± 0.11	0.35 ± 0.20	0.57 ± 0.10	BDL	0.35 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.03	0.24 ± 0.0
	April	0.0037 ± 0.006	0.48 ± 0.21	0.35 ± 0.12	0.65 ± 0.08	0.047 ± 0.081	0.40 ± 0.04	0.39 ± 0.02	0.36±0.(
	May	0.0080 ± 0.007	0.55 ± 014	0.50 ± 0.15	0.56 ± 0.08	0.147 ± 0.025	0.38 ± 0.04	0.43 ± 0.02	0.41 ± 0.0
Monsoon	June	0.0040 ± 0.007	0.49 ± 0.25	0.39 ± 0.20	0.45 ± 0.09	0.050 ± 0.087	0.28 ± 0.06	0.35 ± 0.03	0.30±0.(
	July	BDL	0.38 ± 0.11	0.27 ± 0.22	0.48 ± 0.11	BDL	0.28 ± 0.06	0.33 ± 0.02	0.28±0.(
	August	0.0037 ± 0.006	0.47 ± 0.23	0.40 ± 0.28	0.31 ± 0.09	0.040 ± 0.069	0.41 ± 0.06	0.40 ± 0.02	0.37±0.(
	September	0.0080 ± 0.007	0.38 ± 0.14	0.19 ± 0.13	0.34 ± 0.11	0.167 ± 0.015	0.48 ± 0.04	0.43 ± 0.02	0.45±0.(
Post-monsoon	October	BDL	0.20 ± 0.13	0.27 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.10	0.037 ± 0.064	0.28 ± 0.04	0.33 ± 0.05	0.38±0.(
	November	BDL	0.37 ± 0.17	0.36 ± 0.13	0.34 ± 0.16	BDL	0.35 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.02	0.33±0.(
	December	BDL	0.44 ± 0.20	0.30 ± 0.12	0.24 ± 0.08	0.047 ± 0.081	0.32 ± 0.10	0.27 ± 0.05	0.30±0.(
	January	BDL	0.42 ± 0.18	0.42 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.20	BDL	0.26 ± 0.04	0.27 ± 0.05	0.29 ± 0.0
	Minimum	BDL	0.20	0.19	0.24	BDL	0.25	0.27	0.24
	Maximum	0.0080	0.55	0.50	0.65	0.167	0.48	0.43	0.45
	Average	0.00240 ± 0.005	0.43 ± 0.17	0.34 ± 0.16	0.42 ± 0.17	0.047 ± 0.067	0.34 ± 0.081	0.35 ± 0.064	0.33±0.(
EPA Standards (mg/L)	0.002				0.015			
FSSAI				0.5				0.3	
WHO, EU				0.5				0.3	

Eco. Env. & Cons. 29 (1): 2023

532

was recorded as 23.00 mgkg⁻¹; in the muscles as 21.73 mgkg⁻¹; in the liver as 21.87 mgkg⁻¹ at site-I and 25.58 mgkg⁻¹, 22.64 mgkg⁻¹ & 22.94 mgkg⁻¹ respectively at site-II. Concentration of copper in surface water was observed to 1.88 mgl⁻¹. All values of copper concentration in fish tissues are well below the standards recommended by FSSAI. These reported values show the maximum accumulation in gillsfollowed by liver and muscles i.e. gills > liver > muscles at both sites. However, Nayak *et al.* (2015); Gorakhe & Chandanshive (2020); Abdel-Baki *et al.* (2011) have reported maximum concentration of copper in liver followed by gill and muscles. No significant seasonal variations are reported in copper

concentration in case of water and all tissues.

Zinc: Average concentrations of zinc in gills was 44.43 mgkg⁻¹, in muscles, it was 42.98 mgkg⁻¹, and in liver, it was 37.41 mgkg⁻¹ at site-I and same was 47.56 mgkg⁻¹, 49.67 mgkg⁻¹ and 49.43 mgkg⁻¹ at site-II. Thus, maximum zinc accumulation was in muscles followed by liver and gills. However, Nayak *et al.* (2015); Gorakhe and Chandanshive (2020) have reported the zinc accumulation in the order of liver > gill > muscles. Seasonal trend in fish tissues was in the sequence of monsoon > pre-monsoon > postmonsoon. Similar trends are reported for heavy metals in general by Pandey *et al.* (2020). Concentration of Zinc in water was found to be within limit





EPA: E Union.	Invironmental Pro All standard val	otection Agency lues are in mgl ⁻¹	(US), FSSAI: Foc or mgkg ⁻¹ as app	od Standards & S dicable.	Safety Authority	of India, WHO	: World Health C)rganization, El	J: European
Period	Month		Cu				Zr		
		Water		Fish		Water		Fish	
			Gill	Muscle	Liver		Gill	Muscle	Liver
Pre-monsoon	February	1.60 ± 0.32	23.80 ± 2.08	22.32±1.36	21.37 ± 0.62	4.52 ± 0.44	48.03 ± 1.58	47.96±3.56	50.74 ± 4.12
	March	1.82 ± 0.42	25.42 ± 0.94	23.16 ± 1.08	22.64 ± 1.43	5.39 ± 0.54	41.55 ± 5.02	47.12 ± 4.78	50.18 ± 3.33
	April	1.96 ± 0.28	25.17 ± 0.49	22.09 ± 0.36	24.56 ± 1.14	5.53 ± 1.01	51.73 ± 2.48	52.71 ± 2.53	46.71 ± 6.28
	May	1.93 ± 0.16	27.19 ± 0.80	22.89 ± 0.79	24.75 ± 1.29	6.84 ± 1.52	55.05 ± 2.59	54.41 ± 1.61	54.05 ± 2.92
Monsoon	June	1.54 ± 0.26	25.76 ± 0.68	22.63 ± 0.85	22.79 ± 1.22	7.06 ± 0.31	55.19 ± 5.03	54.03 ± 0.26	53.67 ± 0.21
	July	1.93 ± 0.26	26.58 ± 1.38	24.64 ± 1.12	23.39 ± 1.35	5.79 ± 1.07	48.91 ± 3.18	52.62 ± 2.08	52.22 ± 2.09
	August	1.90 ± 0.38	26.24 ± 0.74	23.68 ± 0.48	24.50 ± 1.41	6.85 ± 1.10	51.83 ± 7.58	52.99 ± 2.95	52.43 ± 5.66
	September	2.28 ± 0.27	26.94 ± 0.96	23.66 ± 1.05	24.89 ± 0.74	7.46 ± 0.07	49.57 ± 3.13	$49.44{\pm}1.70$	49.05 ± 3.97
Post-monsoon	October	2.05 ± 0.10	26.53 ± 0.92	20.42 ± 0.52	21.40 ± 0.97	5.20 ± 0.59	44.77 ± 5.13	45.93 ± 1.14	47.21 ± 7.06
	November	1.99 ± 0.29	25.31 ± 1.15	20.91 ± 0.58	21.10 ± 1.51	5.41 ± 0.21	38.58 ± 5.98	47.90 ± 5.67	47.43 ± 2.20
	December	1.60 ± 0.31	24.97 ± 3.47	22.13 ± 1.92	23.30 ± 0.30	5.50 ± 1.95	40.28 ± 6.45	44.87 ± 8.68	47.74 ± 0.87
	January	1.96 ± 0.45	23.02 ± 0.91	23.14 ± 1.25	20.61 ± 0.76	5.30 ± 2.06	45.26 ± 2.56	45.99 ± 0.22	41.70 ± 6.37
	Minimum	1.54	23.02	20.42	20.61	4.52	38.58	44.87	41.70
	Maximum	2.28	27.19	24.64	24.89	8.47	55.19	54.41	54.05
	Average	1.88 ± 0.27	25.58 ± 1.70	22.64 ± 1.43	22.94 ± 1.76	6.09 ± 1.281	47.56 ± 6.6	49.67 ± 4.6	49.43 ± 4.96
EPA Standards ((mg/L)	1.3				ß			
FSSAI				30			Not specified		
EU				Not Specified			Not specified		
OHM				Not specified			Not specified		

prescribed by EPA, US (2011). No agency has prescribed any such limit for Zinc in fish tissues.

Conclusion

In case of fish tissues, all the heavy metals under observation in this study are found to within prescribed limits except lead (Pb). Lead (Pb) has been found to be slightly above the prescribed limit. Possible source may be the industrial effluents, automobile exhaust precipitate, idol immersion, etc. as per reports of Dighavkar (2016); Gorakhe and Chandanshive (2020). Though the mercury has been reported within limits, its presence cannot be ignored. Possible source of mercury pollution needs to be ascertained and fixed. Copper and Zinc are found to be within limits; hence their presence is not the major concern.

The accumulated toxic heavy metals were found in the order of concentration, Zn > Cu > Pb > Hg. The season-wise variation order was Monsoon > Pre-monsoon > Post-monsoon for almost all heavy metals. The seasonal variation might be the effect of monsoonal runoff from the highly urbanized and industrial city of Pune that convey huge quantum of effluents in the water of tributaries of Bhima.

No specific pattern of tissue-wise accumulation was observed in present study. Each heavy metals showed different affinity for different tissue.

In case of water samples, concentrations of Hg & Pb are found to be above the prescribed concentrations.

Acknowledgement

Our sincere gratitude goes to GreenSolution Enviro and Agro Laboratory Pvt. Ltd, Pune for their timely help in heavy metal analysis.

Table 4. Concentrations of Cu & Zn in Surface Water (mgl⁻¹) and Tissues of Fish (mgkg⁻¹) at Site-II. Values are Average±S.D. (n=3), BDL: Below Detectible Limit,









Declarations

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. Both authors have seen and agree with the contents of the manuscript and there is no financial interest to report. We certify that the submission is original work and is not under review at any other publication.

Informed consent: The manuscript in part or in full has not been submitted or published anywhere.

References

- Agah, H., Leermakers, M., Elskens, M., Fatemi, S.M.R. and Baeyens, W. 2009. Accumulation of Trace Metals in the Muscles and Liver Tissues of Five Fish Species From The Persian Gulf. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 157: 499-514.
- Ashraj, W. 2005. Accumulation of Heavy Metals In Kidney and Heart Tissues of *Epinephelus microdon* Fish From The Arabian Gulf. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 101 (1-3): 311-316.
- Blasco, J., Rubio, J. A., Forja, J., Gomez-Parra, A. Establier, R. 1998. Heavy Metals in Some Fishes of the Muglidae Family from Salt-Pounds of Codiz Bay, SW Spain. *Ecotox. Environ. Res.* 1: 71-77.
- Canli, M., Ay, O. and Kalay, M. 1998. Levels of Heavy Metals (Cd, Pb, Cu and Ni) in Tissue of Cyprinus Carpio, Barbus Capito and Chondrostoma Regium from the Seyhan River. *Turk. J. Zool.* 22 (3): 149-157.
- Clarkson, T. W. 1998. Human Toxicology of Mercury. J. Trace. Elem. Exp. Med. 11 (2-3): 303-317.
- Codex General Standard for Contaminants and Toxins in Food and Feed (CODEX STAN 193-1995), FAO, UN; WHO.
- https://www.fao.org/fileadmin/user_upload/

livestockgov/documents/1_CXS_193e.pdf

- Conacher, H.B., Page, B.D. and Ryan, J.J. 1993. Industrial chemical contamination of foods [Review]. *Food Addit. Contam.* 10 (1): 129-143.
- Dickman, M. D. and Leung, K. M. 1998. Mercury and Organochlorine Exposure from Fish Consumption in Hong Kong. *Chemosphere*. 37 (5): 991-1015.
- Dighavkar, P. 2016. A Study of an Ecological Pathological and Bio-Chemical Impact of Urbanisation and Industrialisation on Water Pollution of Bhima River and its Tributaries Pune Districts, Maharashtra, India. Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, Pune, India.
- Dirilgen, N. 2001. Accumulation of heavy metals in freshwater organisms: Assessment of toxic interactions. *Turk. J. Chem.* 25 (3): 173-179.
- Dural, M., Göksu, M.Z. and Özak, A.A. 2007. Investigation of heavy metal levels in economically important fish species captured from the Tuzla lagoon. *Food Chemistry*. 102: 415-421.
- Farkas, A., Salanki, J. and Specziar, A. 2002. Relation between Growth and the Heavy Metal Concentration in Organs of Bream *Abramis brama* L. Populating Lake Balaton. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 43 (2) : 236-243.
- Farombi, E.O., Adelowo, O.A. and Ajimoko, Y.R. 2007. Biomarkers of Oxidative Stress and Heavy Metal Levels as Indicators of Environmental Pollution in African Cat Fish (*Clarias gariepinus*) from Nigeria Ogun River. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 4 (2): 158-165.
- Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations, 2011, Version-XII, 2020. https://www.fssai.gov.in/upload/ uploadfiles/files/Compendium_ Contaminants_Regulations_28_01_2022.pdf
- Gorakhe, D. and Chandanshive, N.E. 2020. Studies on Determination and Accumulation of Heavy Metals

in Selected Edible Fishes and Their Micro-Environment From Mula-Mutha River, Pune. Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune., India.

- Kalfakakour, V. and Akrida-Demertzi, K. 2000. Transfer Factors of Heavy Metals in Aquatic Organisms of Different Trophic Levels. In: HTML publications. 1: 768-786.
- Kumar, A., Kumar, A. and Jha, S.K. 2020. Distribution and bioaccumulation of heavy metal in water, sediment and fish tissue from the River Mahananda in Seemanchal zone, North Bihar, India. *International Journal of Aquatic Biology*. 8: 109-125.
- Kumar, R., Tripathi, R. and Gupta, A. 2014. Seasonal Variation of heavy metal concentration in water of River Yamuna, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 3(7): 945-949.
- Linnik, P.M. and Zubenko, I.B. 2000. Role of Bottom Sediments in the Secondary Pollution of Aquatic Environments by Heavy Metal Compounds. *Lakes and Reservoirs Res. Manage*. 5(1): 11-21.
- Lipy, E.P., Hakim, M., Mohanta, L.C., Islam, D., Lyzu, C., Roy, D.C., Jahan, I., Akhter, S., Raknuzzaman, M. and Abu Sayed, M. 2021. Assessment of Heavy Metal Concentration in Water, Sediment and Common Fish Species of Dhaleshwari River in Bangladesh and their Health Implications. *Biological Trace Element Research*. 199: 4295 - 4307.
- Mastan, S.A. 2014. Heavy metals concentration in various tissues of two freshwater fishes, *Labeo rohita* and *Channa striatus*. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 8 : 166-170.
- Maharashtra Pollution Control Board, Water Quality Status of Maharashtra 2018-19: https:// www.mpcb.gov.in/focus-area/reports-documents/waterhttps://www.mpcb.gov.in/aboutus/annual-report
- https://mpcb.gov.in/water-quality/monitoring-network/e_bulletin

- https://www.mpcb.gov.in/river-polluted-streches/action-plans
- https://www.mpcb.gov.in/river-polluted-streches/ water_quality_of_polluted_river_stretches/archive
- https://www.mpcb.gov.in/river-polluted-streches/ water_quality_of_polluted_river_stretches
- https://www.mpcb.gov.in/workshop/conference-restoration-polluted-rivers-streches-and-public-awareness-plastic-ban
- Nayak, H., Gowda, G., Harish, B., Sruthisree, C. and Naveen Kumar, B. 2015. Heavy Metal in the Commercially Important Fish *Rastrelliger kanagurta* from Mangaluru Waters. J. Exp. Zool. India. 18(2): 583-587.
- Olaifa, F.G., Olaifa, A.K. and Onwude, T.E. 2004. Lethal and sublethal effects of copper to the African Cat fish (*Clarias gariepnus*). *Afr. J. Biomed. Res.* 7: 65-70.
- Pandey, H., Senthilnathan, S. and Thivakaran, G. 2020. Heavy Metal Contamination in Water, Sediment and Fish of Mahi Estuary, Gujarat, India. *Poll Res.* 39 (2): 327-334.
- Velez, D. and Montoro, R. 1998. Arsenic Speciation In Manufactured Seafood Products: A Review. J. Food. Protect. 61 (9): 1240-1245.
- Voegborlo, R. B., Methnani, A. M. E. and Abedin, M. Z. 1999. Mercury, cadmium and lead content of canned Tuna fish. *Food Chem.* 67 (4): 341-345.
- Vosyliene, M. Z. and Jankaite, A. 2006. Effect of Heavy Metal Model Mixture on Rainbow Trout Biological Parameters. *Ekologija*. 4: 12-17.
- Vutukuru, S.S. 2005. Acute effects of Hexavalent chromium on survival, oxygen consumption, hematological parameters and some biochemical profiles of the Indian Major carp, Labeo rohita. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 2 (3): 456-462.
- Yousuf, M.H.A. and El-Shahawi, 1999. Trace metals in Lethrinus lentjan fish from Arabian Gulf: Metal accumulation in Kidney and Heart Tissues. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 62 (3): 293-300.